

On the geometrical origin of periodicity in blazar-type sources

Frank M. Rieger

Department of Mathematical Physics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

`frank.rieger@ucd.ie`

ABSTRACT

Periodicities in blazar light curves may be related to helical trajectories in extragalactic radio jets by differential Doppler boosting effects. We consider ballistic and non-ballistic (i.e., radial) trajectories and discuss three possible periodic driving mechanisms for the origin of helical jet paths, namely, orbital motion in a binary black hole system (BBHS), jet precession, and intrinsic jet rotation. It is shown that precessional-driven ballistic motion is unlikely to result in observable periods of less than several tens of years. We demonstrate that for non-ballistic helical motion the observed period is generally strongly shortened relative to the real physical driving period because of light-travel time effects. Internal jet rotation may thus account for observed periods $P_{\text{obs}} \lesssim 10$ days. Periodicity due to orbital-driven (non-ballistic) helical motion, on the other hand, is usually constrained to periods of $P_{\text{obs}} \gtrsim 10$ days, while Newtonian-driven precession is unlikely to be responsible for periodicity on a timescale $P_{\text{obs}} \lesssim 100$ days but may well be associated with periods of $P_{\text{obs}} \gtrsim 1$ yr.

Subject headings: galaxies: active – galaxies: jets

1. Introduction

Recent investigations of the light curves in blazar sources have revealed mounting evidence of periodicity on different timescales, albeit often with a spread in significance: while there seems to be a tendency for a periodicity on a timescale of several tens of days in the optical, X-ray or TeV data from TeV sources such as Mrk 421, Mrk 501, 3C66A and PKS 2155-304 (Hayashida et al. 1998; Lainela et al. 1999; Kranich et al. 2001; Osone et al. 2001), the long-term optical light curves from classical sources such as BL Lacertae, ON 231, 3C273,

OJ 287, PKS 0735+178, 3C345 and AO 0235+16 usually suggest (observed) timescales of several years (e.g., Sillanpää et al. 1988; Liu et al. 1995; Fan et al. 1997, 1998; Raiteri et al. 2001). Although the significances of the observed periodicities may sometimes be questioned as they are usually based on limited and uneven sampled data sets, their physical possibility is supported by the concurrence of an additional line of evidence: high-resolution kinematic studies of parsec-scale radio jets, particularly in many of the above noted classical objects, have provided observational evidence for the helical motion of components (e.g., Zensus et al. 1988; Steffen et al. 1995; Vicente et al. 1996; Tateyama et al. 1998; Gómez et al. 1999; Kellermann et al. 2004). A periodically changing viewing angle due to regular helical motion of components for example, could via differential Doppler boosting naturally lead to periodicities in the observed lightcurves. In this Letter we distinguish possible periodic driving mechanisms for helical jet paths by means of their associated timescales. Information from observed light curves may thus be used in support of ballistic or non-ballistic scenarios and to draw warranted conclusions on the nature of the central engine.

2. Geometrical origin of periodicity and possible driving mechanisms

For a time-dependent viewing angle, the observed spectral flux modulation by Doppler boosting is given by $S_\nu(t) = \delta(t)^n S'_\nu$, with $n = 3 + \alpha$ for a resolved blob of plasma with spectral index α and $n = 2 + \alpha$ for a continuous flow, S' the spectral flux density in the comoving frame, and δ the Doppler factor defined by

$$\delta(t) = \frac{1}{\gamma_b(t) [1 - \beta_b(t) \cos \theta(t)]}, \quad (1)$$

where $\theta(t)$ is the actual angle between the velocity $\vec{\beta}_b(t) = \dot{\vec{x}}_b(t)/c$ of the emission region and the direction of the observer, and $\gamma_b(t) = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta_b(t)^2}$ is the corresponding bulk Lorentz factor. A periodically changing viewing angle may thus lead to a periodicity in the observed light curve even for an intrinsically constant flux.

In the case of AGNs, there is well-established evidence for at least three important driving mechanisms, possibly resulting in a periodically changing viewing angle: (i) non-ballistic helical motion driven by the *orbital motion* in a BBHS, (ii) ballistic or non-ballistic helical jet paths driven by *jet precession* and (iii) non-ballistic helical motion due to an *internally rotating jet* flow. Scenarios following (i) and (ii) usually rely on the plausibility of BBHSs in the centres of AGNs. Today, the presence of such systems is indeed strongly suggested by hierarchical galaxy evolution schemes (e.g., Begelman et al. 1980) and a multitude of observational evidence (e.g., Komossa 2003, for a review). In the case of simple *orbital driving*, a periodically changing viewing angle requires non-ballistic (i.e., non-radial) motion of

jet elements, so that the orbital motion is transmitted to the jet in such a way that the azimuthal velocity of an element is characterized by the Keplerian orbital angular frequency

$$\Omega_k = \sqrt{G [M + m] / d^3}, \quad (2)$$

with M and m the primary and companion mass, respectively, and d the separation of the binary. For *precessional driving*, on the other hand, both ballistic and non-ballistic motion are accompanied by a periodically changing viewing angle. There is still some debate on whether the observed helical shapes in radio jets are due to ballistic or non-ballistic motion, albeit recent studies suggest that non-ballistic motion may be common in many if not most sources (Kellermann et al. 2004, and references in Sect. 1). In general, jet precession may arise due to relativistic (geodetic and gravitomagnetic) effects, characterized by the total angular frequency

$$\Omega_p^* = (3m + \mu) G \Omega_k / (2 d c^2), \quad (3)$$

with μ the reduced mass, or due to classical effects (*Newtonian precession*), i.e., tidally induced perturbations in the disk caused by the binary companion and resulting in a rigid body precession of the inner parts of the disk (Katz 1997; Larwood 1998; Romero et al. 2000, 2003). Non-ballistic helical motion of knots with periodically changing viewing angles may, however, also be a natural consequence of an overall straight yet *internally rotating jet* (scenario iii), characterized by the angular frequency $\Omega(r)$, provided that knots are dragged with the rotating flow. Again, such a scenario appears well-supported given the evidence for a close link between the jet and the disk (e.g., Rawlings & Saunders 1991; Falcke & Biermann 1995), suggesting that a significant amount of rotational energy of the disk is channeled into the jet.

3. Travel-time effects for non-ballistic helical motion

In order to correctly extract the relevant information such as the timescale of periodicity from measured light curves, light-travel time effects for non-ballistic helical motion need to be taken into account. For simplicity, consider an emitting component which moves relativistically along an idealized helical path at small inclination toward the observer. Denote by $\vec{i} = (\sin i, 0, \cos i)$ the normalized vector pointing toward the observer and let $\vec{x}_b(t) = (R \cos \Omega t, R \sin \Omega t, v_z t)$ be the position vector of the emission region, with v_z the outflow velocity along the z -axis. For simple, *orbital-driven* helical motion (scenario i), $R \equiv M d / (m + M)$, $\Omega \equiv \Omega_k$, and i is the angle between the direction of the total angular momentum and the line-of-sight (LOS). *Precessional driving* (scenario ii), on the other hand, may be modelled by setting $R \equiv R(t) = v_z t \tan \alpha$, with $\alpha \leq i$ the half opening angle of the precession cone, $\Omega \equiv \Omega_p$ and with i the angle between the cone axis and LOS. Finally, for a

well-collimated, *internally rotating jet* flow (scenario iii), R may be identified with the radial scale r_0 at which the knot is injected, $\Omega \equiv \Omega(r_0)$ and i is the angle between the jet axis and LOS.

In general, we measure a peak in the observed flux each time the velocity vector of the component points closest toward the observer, say at point A and point B. In the frame fixed in the center of the galaxy, the component moves from point A to point B within the time span $P = 2\pi/\Omega$. Technically, the observed period is determined by measuring the arrival times of light pulses emitted at A and B. This period however, will usually be much shorter than the (real) physical period P , as the travel distance for a pulse emitted at B is much shorter than the one for a pulse emitted at A, due to the relativistic motion of the component in the direction of the observer. The observed difference in arrival times depends on the projection of the velocity vector of the knot $\vec{\beta}_b(t) = \dot{\vec{x}}_b(t)/c$ on the direction $\vec{i}$ to the observer. Hence, for an infinitesimal time interval dt we have

$$dt_{\text{obs}} = (1+z) [1 - \vec{\beta}_b(t) \cdot \vec{i}] dt = (1+z) [1 - \beta_b(t) \cos \theta(t)] dt, \quad (4)$$

where the factor $(1+z)$ accounts for a possible redshift dependence and $\cos \theta(t) = \vec{i} \cdot \dot{\vec{x}}_b / (|\vec{i}| |\dot{\vec{x}}_b|)$. For the relation between the observed period P_{obs} and P one thus obtains

$$P_{\text{obs}} = (1+z) \int_0^P [1 - \beta_b(t) \cos \theta(t)] dt. \quad (5)$$

Performing the integration in the case of *orbital-driven* helical motion one finds (Rieger & Mannheim 2000)

$$P_{\text{obs}} = (1+z) \left[1 - \frac{v_z}{c} \cos i \right] P_k, \quad (6)$$

assuming that the observed emission is dominated by radiation from a single jet. The real period may be twice as long if both black holes (BHs) have similar jets. A similar dependency is found for an *internally rotating* flow, i.e. $P_{\text{obs}} = (1+z) [1 - (v_z/c) \cos i] P(r_0)$ (cf. also Camenzind & Krockenberger 1992). For *precessional driving*, on the other hand, one has

$$P_{\text{obs}} = (1+z) \left[1 - \frac{v_z}{c} \cos i - \frac{v_z}{c} \tan \alpha \sin i \right] P_p, \quad (7)$$

where the α -dependence is due to motion along the surface of the cone.

Now, for blazar-type sources we may obtain a representative scale for the (real) physical driving period by using classical parameters inferred from high energy emission models, i.e., inclination angles $i \simeq 1/\gamma_b$ and bulk Lorentz factors $\gamma_b \simeq (5 - 15)$ (e.g., Chiaberge et al. 2000), which yields

$$P \simeq \frac{\gamma_b^2}{(1+z)} P_{\text{obs}} = \frac{13.7}{(1+z)} \left(\frac{\gamma_b}{10} \right)^2 \left(\frac{P_{\text{obs}}}{50 \text{ days}} \right) \text{ yr} \quad (8)$$

assuming non-relativistic rotational velocities, i.e. $v_z/c \simeq (1 - 1/\gamma_b^2)^{1/2}$. The above estimate is also valid for precessional driving, provided that the half-opening angle α is small enough. Note, however, that the situation is different for a ballistic helical-type jet, where individual fluid elements move radially away from the origin, with the direction of ejection changing periodically with time due to precession. The origin of periodicity in this case could be related to a periodically new and dominant ejection from the centre (i.e. not due to the motion of a single element or component), with the older ones fading away with distance (e.g. due to an inhomogeneous-type flow or deceleration). There is thus no shortening of the period in such cases, apart from the usual redshift correction, since all relevant signals essentially do have to travel the same distance toward the observer. In general a driving period P translates into a characteristic projected length scale (wavelength) for the associated helical trajectory of $\lambda = P v_z \sin i$, i.e.

$$\lambda_{\text{nb}} \simeq P_{\text{obs}} c \gamma_b / (1 + z) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_b \simeq \lambda_{\text{nb}} / \gamma_b^2 \quad (9)$$

with λ_b for ballistic and λ_{nb} for non-ballistic motion, e.g., λ being much smaller for a ballistic than for a non-ballistic origin of periodicity.

4. Constraints and associated timescales for specific driving mechanisms

In general, the above noted driving mechanisms may be distinguished according to their associated timescales:

Periodicity caused by *orbital-driven* non-ballistic helical motion for example, probably represents the scenario with the smallest degrees of freedom. Existing supermassive binary models aimed at explaining periodicity in blazars by orbital or precessional motion usually require very close BBHs with typical separations of the order $d \simeq 5 \cdot 10^{16} (P_k/10 \text{ yr})^{2/3} ([M + m]/[5 \cdot 10^8 M_\odot])^{1/3}$ cm and orbital periods of several years, suggesting observable periods $\gtrsim 10$ days. In general, such close binaries are quite vulnerable to quickly lose their orbital angular momentum via gravitational radiation. Stability of the observed period or arguments from cosmological evolution may thus be used for individual sources to impose a lower limit on the required lifetime and hence the separation and orbital period of the putative BBHs (e.g., Rieger & Mannheim 2000). Note that for orbital-driven helical motion the periodicity at a given frequency is expected to be disturbed or even washed out, when the width of the jet (at that frequency!) becomes comparable to the size of the orbit, which naturally limits the number of observable periods.

Periodicity caused by *precessional-driven* helical motion is normally associated with driving periods much higher than the orbital period. While a geodetic and gravitomagnetic

precessional origin of periodicity may be neglected by virtue of the associated long driving periods (usually in excess of $P_p \sim 10^4$ yr), Newtonian-driven jet precession caused by rigid body precession of the inner parts of the disk may represent a plausible option. It could be shown that for such a scenario the ratio between the orbital (P_k) and precessional (P_p) period obeys (cf. Larwood 1998; Romero et al. 2003)

$$\frac{P_k}{P_p} \simeq \frac{3}{7} \frac{m}{M} \left(\frac{r_d}{d} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{M}{m+M} \right)^{1/2} \cos \alpha, \quad (10)$$

where m is the mass of the BH exerting the gravitational torque, d is the orbital separation of the binary, r_d is the outer radius of the precessing inner disk, with $r_d/d < 1$ and α the precession cone half-angle. Newtonian (rigid body) precession requires that induced bending disturbances take on the character of propagating waves, a behaviour expected to occur for $\alpha < 1/\mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ denotes the mean Mach number of the disk and α the usual dimensionless kinematic viscosity. Differential precession may then be smoothed out if the crossing time for the bending waves, propagating at nearly one third of the sound speed c_s , is much shorter than the precessional period (Papaloizou & Terquem 1995; Larwood 1998). Hence the condition for rigid body rotation becomes $c_s \gg 3 \Omega_{\text{prec}} r_d$ (Larwood 1998). Combining these relations, one obtains $P_k/P_p \ll 1/(7 \mathcal{M})^{1/2}$, implying a characteristic lower bound for the precessional period in a close BBHS of

$$P_p \gg 40 \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{10} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{P_k}{5 \text{ yr}} \right) \text{ yr} \quad (11)$$

for a typical Mach number $\mathcal{M} \sim 10$. This suggests (a) that ballistic motion is generally unable to account plausibly for periodicities with observed periods less than several tens of years and (b) that unless very high flow Lorentz factors are invoked, non-ballistic Newtonian-driven precession in a close BBHS is unlikely to result in observable periods of less than 100 days. However, periods of the order of several years, as observed for example in the BL Lac object AO 0235+16, may be generally due to (Newtonian) precessional-driven as well as orbital-driven non-ballistic helical jet paths (cf. also, Romero et al. 2003; Ostorero et al. 2004).

In the above mentioned scenarios, the physical evolution of the non-ballistic helical path is usually treated somewhat heuristically. What in any case is required in such models is a coherent jet channel with some kind of rigidity or stiffness, e.g. as a consequence of the flow being wrapped by magnetic fields (e.g., Conway & Wrobel 1993; Fendt & Zinnecker 1998). Note that periodic variability may also arise if jets are characterized by a global helical magnetic field. A more detailed analysis of such a possibility (cf. also, Roland et al. 1994) will be given elsewhere.

In the case of periodicity driven by an *internally rotating flow*, a physically more detailed scenario, based on MHD jet models, has been proposed by Camenzind & Krockenberger (1992) and applied to several sources (e.g., Wagner et al. 1995). Assuming that the magnetic flux giving rise to the jet is concentrated toward the innermost part of the disk, the origin of periodicity has been related to an off-axisymmetric knot, with a size much smaller than the jet radius r_j , which is injected at radius r_0 beyond the light cylinder $r_L \simeq 1.5 \cdot 10^{14} M_8$ cm (with M_8 the BH mass in units of $10^8 M_\odot$) and dragged with the underlying rotating flow. As the plasma rotation beyond r_L is considered to be governed by specific angular momentum conservation, a given period P_{obs} may be related to a specific injection point r_0 in the almost cylindrically-collimated jet region by (cf. Eq. 8, but allowing for sub-relativistic rotational velocities of order $\sim 0.1 c$)

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &\simeq \sqrt{\frac{c}{2\pi}} r_L^{1/2} P^{1/2} \\ &\simeq \frac{120}{\sqrt{1+z}} \left(\frac{\gamma_b}{10}\right) \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma_b}{10}\right)^2 \left(\frac{v_\theta(r_0)}{0.1 c}\right)^2\right]^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_8}} \left(\frac{P_{\text{obs}}}{50 \text{ days}}\right)^{1/2} r_L. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Numerical MHD simulations have shown, that the asymptotic, cylindrical jet radius in these models is usually confined to $r_L < r_0 < 10 r_L$ (e.g., Camenzind 1996; Fendt 1997). This suggests, that unless very low flow Lorentz factors and/or unusual high BH masses are invoked, consistency implies that the observed timescale of periodicity for BL Lac-type objects due to internal jet rotation is generally limited to $P_{\text{obs}} \sim 1$ day or less, while for the more massive quasars one may have $P_{\text{obs}} \lesssim 10$ days. In general, almost perfect collimation with an intrinsic opening angle of less than 0.1° is required in such scenarios, as otherwise the periodicity will be quickly washed out due to the requirement of angular momentum conservation. Again, this could be used to impose an upper limit on the possible number N of observable periods as the jet usually needs to open before entering the pc-scale. Note, however, that the real situation may be more complex if other rotation profiles (e.g., Vlahakis & Tsinganos 1998) are indeed realized.

5. Consequences

In the case of blazar-type sources where most of the observed flux is usually dominated by non-thermal emission from their relativistic jets, periodic variability may occur as a result of differential Doppler boosting associated with helical jet paths. Whereas this conclusion appears physically robust, the detectability of the associated period in a given source will depend on several conditions, e.g. whether the periodicity at a given frequency is dominated

by a strong disk contribution (in the optical-UV), affected by absorption (e.g., $\alpha = -2.5$ in the radio regime), or washed out due to internal jet stratification.

Periodicity by differential Doppler boosting may arise due to precessional-driven ballistic motion of components or due to non-ballistic helical motion driven by (i) the orbital motion or (ii) Newtonian precession in a close BBHS, or (iii) internal jet rotation. We have demonstrated that for non-ballistic helical motion the observed period P_{obs} may be much smaller than the underlying physical driving period P_d , i.e. $P_{\text{obs}} \sim (1+z) P_d / \gamma_b^2$. This result could be utilized for assessing the plausibility of ballistic or non-ballistic motion in a given radio jet. For example, a closer inspection reveals that precessional-driven ballistic motion is unlikely to be associated with observed periods of less than several tens of years. Conversely, observed periods less than several years provide strong evidence for a non-ballistic origin. Such non-ballistic scenarios may further be distinguished according to their inherent constraints: internal jet rotation in a lighthouse-type manner (Camenzind & Krockenberger 1992), for example, is expected to result in $P_{\text{obs}} \lesssim 10$ days (for massive quasars), with periods likely to be of order $P_{\text{obs}} \sim 1$ day for BL Lac objects. Periodicity due to orbital-driven helical motion (Rieger & Mannheim 2000) is usually constrained to periods of $P_{\text{obs}} \gtrsim 10$ days and for massive binaries expected to be well above several tens of days. Newtonian-driven precession on the other hand, seems unable to account plausibly for periods $P_{\text{obs}} \lesssim 100$ d, but may well be associated with $P_{\text{obs}} \gtrsim 1$ yr. This suggests, for example, that periodic variability with timescales of several tens of days, as apparently observed in some TeV sources, is most likely caused by orbital-driven helical motion. Moreover, if the jet evolution in a BBHS is sufficiently inhomogeneous, the high-energy emission will only evince effects of orbital modulation while precessional modulation may be present in lower energy bands.

Discussions with G. Romero, J.-H. Fan, and K. Mannheim, and support by a Marie-Curie Individual Fellowship (MCIF-2002-00842) are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Begelman, M. C., Blandford, R. D., & Rees, M. J. 1980, *Nature*, 287, 307
- Camenzind, M. 1996, in: *Solar and Astrophysical Magnetohydrodynamic Flows*, ed. K.C. Tsinganos, Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 699
- Camenzind, M., & Krockenberger, M. 1992, *A&A*, 255, 59
- Chiaberge, M., Celotti, A., Capetti, A., Ghisellini, G. 2000, *A&A*, 358, 104

- Conway, J. E. & Wrobel, J. M. 1993, *ApJ*, 411, 89
- Falcke, H., & Biermann, P.L. 1995, *A&A*, 293, 665
- Fan, J. H., Xie, G. Z., Lin, R. G. et al. 1997, *A&AS*, 125, 525
- Fan, J. H., Xie, G. Z., Pecontal, E. et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 507, 173
- Fendt, C. 1997, *A&A*, 319, 1025
- Fendt, C., & Zinnecker, H. 1998, *A&A*, 334, 750
- Gómez, J., Marscher, A. P., Alberdi, A., & Gabuzda, D. C. 1999, *ApJ*, 519, 642
- Hayashida, N., Hirasawa, H., Ishikawa, F. et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 504, L71
- Katz, J. I. 1997, *ApJ*, 478, 527
- Kellermann, K.I., Lister, M.L., Homan, D.C. et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 609, 539
- Komossa, S. 2003, in: *The Astrophysics of Gravitational Wave Sources*, AIP Conf. Proc. 686, 161
- Kranich, D., de Jager, O., Kestel, M. et al. 2001, *Proc. 27th ICRC (Hamburg)* 7, 2630
- Lainela, M., Takalo, L. O., Sillanpää, A. et al. 1999, *ApJ*, 521, 561
- Larwood, J. 1998, *MNRAS*, 299, L32
- Liu, F. K., Xie, G. Z., & Bai, J. M. 1995, *A&A*, 295, 1
- Osone, S., Teshima, M., & Mase, K. 2001, *Proc. 27th ICRC (Hamburg)* 7, 2695
- Ostorero, L., Villata, M., & Raiteri, C.M. 2004, *A&A*419, 913
- Papaloizou, J. C. B. & Terquem, C. 1995, *MNRAS*, 274, 987
- Raiteri, C. M., Villata, M., Aller, H. D. et al. 2001, *A&A*, 377, 396
- Rawlings, S., & Saunders, R. 1991, *Nature*, 349, 138
- Rieger, F. M., & Mannheim, K. 2000, *A&A*, 359, 948
- Roland, J., Teyssier, R., & Roos, N. 1994, *A&A*, 290, 357
- Romero, G.E., Chajet, L., Abraham, Z., & Fan, J.H. 2000, *A&A*, 360, 57

- Romero, G.E., Fan, J., & Nuza, S. E. 2003, ChJAA, 3, 513
- Sillanpää, A., Haarala, S., Valtonen, M. J. et al. 1988, ApJ, 325, 628
- Steffen, W., Zensus, J. A., Krichbaum, T. P. et al. 1995, A&A, 302, 335
- Tateyama, C. E., Kingham, K. A., Kaufmann, P. et al. 1998, ApJ, 500, 810
- Vicente, L., Charlot, P., & Sol, H. 1996, A&A, 312, 727
- Vlahakis, N., & Tsinganos, K. 1998, MNRAS, 298, 777
- Wagner, S. J., Camenzind, M., Dreissigacker, O. et al. 1995, A&A, 298, 688
- Zensus, J. A., Cohen, M. H., Baath, L. B., & Nicolson, G. D. 1988, Nature, 334, 410